A simple model with asymptotically Lifshitz BHs

Javier Tarrío Bilbao, 2nd February

based on: arXiv:1201.5480 arXiv:1105.6335 w/ S. Vandoren





Universiteit Utrecht



Lifshitz metric

• The final aim is extend AdS/CFT to study non-isotropic, strongly coupled, field th.

 $t \to \lambda^z t \qquad x \to \lambda x$

 Lifshitz algebra generators identified with isometries of the Lifshitz metric

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}}dr^{2} - \frac{r^{2z}}{\ell^{2z}}dt^{2} + \frac{r^{2}}{\ell^{2}}d\vec{x}_{d-1}^{2}$$

Kachru Liu Mulligan

• Want to study asymptotically Lifshitz charged BHs, to mimic finite temperature and chemical potential

z=1 (AdS) case

• In AAdS case there is the known AdS-RN

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+1}} \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4} e^{\lambda\phi} F^2 \right)$$

• Where the solution reads

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}} \frac{dr^{2}}{b_{k}(r)} - b_{k}(r) \frac{r^{2}}{\ell^{2}} dt^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega_{k,d-1}^{2}$$

$$b_k \simeq 1 + \frac{k}{r^2} - mr^{-d} + \rho^2 r^{-2(d-1)}$$

Chamblin Emparan Johnson Myers

 $F_{rt} = \rho r^{1-d} \qquad e^{\lambda \phi} = 1$

z=1 (AdS) case

• In AAdS case there is the known AdS-RN

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+1}} \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4} e^{\lambda\phi} F^2 \right)$$

• Where the solution reads

 $F_{rt} = \rho r^{1-d}$

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}} \frac{dr^{2}}{b_{k}(r)} - b_{k}(r) \frac{r^{2}}{\ell^{2}} dt^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega_{k,d-1}^{2}$$

$$b_k \simeq 1 + \frac{k}{r^2} - mr^{-d} + \rho^2 r^{-2(d-1)}$$

 $e^{\lambda\phi} = 1$

Chamblin Emparan Johnson Myers

z=1 (AdS) case

• In AAdS case there is the known AdS-RN

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+1}} \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4} e^{\lambda\phi} F^2 \right)$$

• Where the solution reads

 $F_{rt} = \rho r^{1-d}$

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}} \frac{dr^{2}}{b_{k}(r)} - b_{k}(r) \frac{r^{2}}{\ell^{2}} dt^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega_{k,d-1}^{2}$$
$$b_{k} \simeq 1 + \left[\frac{k}{r^{2}}\right] - mr^{-d} + \left[\rho^{2}r^{-2(d-1)}\right]$$

 $e^{\lambda\phi} = 1$

Chamblin Emparan Johnson Myers

Anisotropic case

• Let's play the same game with different asymptotics (*z*!=1)

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+1}} \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4} e^{\lambda\phi} F^2 \right)$$

• Now the solution is no longer charged nor with spherical topology

$$b_k \simeq 1 - mr^{-(d+z-1)}$$
 Taylor $F_{rt} = fr^{d+z-2}$ $e^{\lambda\phi} = \mu r^{2(1-d)}$ $\mu f^2 = 2(z-1)(d+z-1)$

Anisotropic case

• One may try to introduce charge by adding an extra field

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+1}} \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{4} e^{\lambda_i \phi} F_i^2 \right)$$

Actually there are two possible solutions now

$$b_k \simeq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{k}{r^2} - mr^{-(d+z-1)} \\ 1 - mr^{-(d+z-1)} + \rho^2 r^{-2(d+z-2)} \end{cases}$$

Anisotropic case

- Natural question: do we have spherical topology and charge with $U(1)^3$ theory? $S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+1}} \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{4} e^{\lambda_i \phi} F_i^2 \right)$
 - The answer is yes, for the blackening function we obtain

$$b_k \simeq 1 + \left| \frac{k}{r^2} \right| - mr^{-(d+z-1)} + \left| \rho^2 r^{-2(d+z-2)} \right|$$

The solution with U(1)³ $ds^{2} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}} \frac{dr^{2}}{b_{k}(r)} - b_{k}(r) \frac{r^{2z}}{\ell^{2z}} dt^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega_{k,d-1}^{2}$ $b_{k} \simeq 1 + \frac{k}{r^{2}} - mr^{-(d+z-1)} + \rho^{2} r^{-2(d+z-2)}$

$$e^{\phi} = \mu r^{\sqrt{2(d-1)(z-1)}}$$

The solution with U(1)³

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}} \frac{dr^{2}}{b_{k}(r)} - b_{k}(r) \frac{r^{2z}}{\ell^{2z}} dt^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega_{k,d-1}^{2}$$

 $b_{k} \simeq 1 + \frac{k}{r^{2}} - mr^{-(d+z-1)} + \rho^{2} r^{-2(d+z-2)}$
 $\mathcal{A}_{t}^{(z)'} \simeq f_{1}(d,z) r^{d+z-2}$

$$e^{\phi} = \mu r^{\sqrt{2(d-1)(z-1)}}$$

The solution with U(1)³

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}} \frac{dr^{2}}{b_{k}(r)} - b_{k}(r) \frac{r^{2z}}{\ell^{2z}} dt^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega_{k,d-1}^{2}$$

$$b_{k} \simeq 1 + \frac{k}{r^{2}} - mr^{-(d+z-1)} + \rho^{2} r^{-2(d+z-2)}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{t}^{(k)'} \simeq f_{2}(d, z) r^{d+z-4}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{t}^{(z)'} \simeq f_{1}(d, z) r^{d+z-2}$$

$$e^{\phi} = \mu r^{\sqrt{2(d-1)(z-1)}}$$



$$e^{\phi} = \mu r^{\sqrt{2(d-1)(z-1)}}$$



These fields diverge at the boundary!! $A'_t \simeq \rho r^{2-d-z}$

$$e^{\phi} = \mu r^{\sqrt{2(d-1)(z-1)}}$$

Cons

• Divergent fields at the boundary spoil Lifshitz symmetry

 $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \mathcal{A} \neq 0$ $\xi = zt\partial_t - r\partial_r + x^i \partial_i$

 Model cannot be obtained from string theory due to the constant potential, and for generic potential no Lifshitz solution is known Charmousis, Gouteraux,

Kim, Kiritsis, Meyer

Pros

- Analytic for generic d > 2 and $z \ge 1$
- Can be understood as effective IR theories that must be UV completed
- Great toy model: matter fields not coupling to *A* do not know about their divergent behaviour!

Gursoy Plauschinn Stoof Vandoren

• Infinities can be removed with (almost) standard holographic renormalization

Prior to an on-shell evaluation: the variational problem (*k=1* from now on)

 add Gibbons-Hawking term
 consider badly behaved gauge fields

$$\mathcal{A}_t^{(z)'} \simeq f_1(d, z) r^{d+z-2} \qquad \mathcal{A}_t^{(k)'} \simeq f_2(d, z) r^{d+z-4}$$

• Correct variational problem implies

$$\tilde{S} = S + S_{GH} + \frac{1}{2\kappa_2} \int_{\partial} \sqrt{-h} \frac{1}{2} n_\mu \left(e^{\lambda_z \phi} \mathcal{A}^{(z)}_\nu \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(z)} + e^{\lambda_k \phi} \mathcal{A}^{(k)}_\nu \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(k)} \right)$$

• Recall the AAdS counterterm

$$S_{ct} = -\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\partial} d^d x \sqrt{-h} \left(\frac{d-1}{\ell} + \frac{\ell}{2(d-2)} R + \frac{\ell^3}{2(d-2)^2(d-4)} \left[R_{ab} R^{ab} - \frac{d}{4(d-1)} R^2 \right] + \cdots \right)$$

• The series truncates depending on the number of dimensions, and for fixed *d* one needs the term with *R*^{*n*}, where

$$n = \left\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

• For the non-AdS case this relation reads

$$n = \left\lfloor \frac{d+z-2}{2} \right\rfloor$$

- Can we avoid restricting to specific *d* and *z*? There are infinite counterterms, but for the most general boundary metric!
- Notice that the asymptotic metric is static with topology $\mathbb{R}_t \times S^{d-1}$, then

$$R_{t\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(h)} = 0$$
$$R_{ijkl}^{(h)} \sim h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{il}h_{jk}$$

• In concrete, any contraction of curvature tensors is proportional to a power of $R_{(h)}$

• One method to obtain the counterterm: consider

$$S_{ct} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\partial} \sqrt{-h} \sum_{n=0}^{n_{max}} c_n R_{(h)}^n$$

- For several values of *d* and *z* fix *c*₀ to cancel divergences, repeat with *c*₁, *c*₂...
- Find each $c_{i \le n_{max}}$ as a function of d and z, and generalize to arbitrary power n
- Resum the series (and check for other *d*'s and *z*'s)

- A second method to write down the counterterm: calculate on-shell action in the neutral case with no black hole
- Factor $\operatorname{out} \sqrt{-h}$ and trade all factors of radial coordinate for the boundary Ricci scalar
- Subtract precisely that counterterm: equivalent to backgound subtraction!

Kraus Larsen Siebelink

• The on-shell, renormalized, action

$$\tilde{S} - \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\partial} d^d x \sqrt{-h} \frac{d-1}{\ell} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(d-2)\ell^2 R_{(h)}}{(d-1)(d+z-3)^2}} = \beta W$$

• The on-shell, renormalized, action

$$\tilde{S} - \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\partial} d^d x \sqrt{-h} \frac{d-1}{\ell} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(d-2)\ell^2 R_{(h)}}{(d-1)(d+z-3)^2}} = \beta W$$

• What about the energy?

• The on-shell, renormalized, action

$$\tilde{S} - \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\partial} d^d x \sqrt{-h} \frac{d-1}{\ell} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(d-2)\ell^2 R_{(h)}}{(d-1)(d+z-3)^2}} = \beta W$$

• What about the energy?

$$T_{mn} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \left[K_{mn} - K h_{mn} + \frac{d-1}{\ell} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(d-2)\ell^2 R_{(h)}}{(d-1)(d+z-3)^2}} h_{mn} \right]$$

• The on-shell, renormalized, action

$$\tilde{S} - \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\partial} d^d x \sqrt{-h} \frac{d-1}{\ell} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(d-2)\ell^2 R_{(h)}}{(d-1)(d+z-3)^2}} = \beta W$$

• What about the energy?

$$T_{mn} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \left[K_{mn} - K h_{mn} + \frac{d-1}{\ell} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(d-2)\ell^2 R_{(h)}}{(d-1)(d+z-3)^2}} h_{mn} \right]$$

• There are corrections, but *M* is accesible

• The on-shell, renormalized, action

$$\tilde{S} - \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{\partial} d^d x \sqrt{-h} \frac{d-1}{\ell} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(d-2)\ell^2 R_{(h)}}{(d-1)(d+z-3)^2}} = \beta W$$

• What about the energy?

$$T_{mn} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \left[K_{mn} - K h_{mn} + \frac{d-1}{\ell} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(d-2)\ell^2 R_{(h)}}{(d-1)(d+z-3)^2}} h_{mn} \right]$$

• There are corrections, but *M* is accesible $M = \int d^{d-1}x \sqrt{\det h_{ij}} k^m \xi^n T_{mn} = \frac{V_{d-1}}{16\pi G_{d+1}} \frac{m(d-1)}{\ell^{1+z}}$

Thermodynamics

• Defining charge and chemical potential in the standard way

$$\tilde{Q} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+1}} \int e^{\lambda\phi} * F_2 = \frac{V_{d-1}\ell^{z-1}\rho}{16\pi G_{d+1}}$$

$$\tilde{\Phi} = A_t(\infty) = \frac{\rho \,\mu^{-\sqrt{2\frac{z-1}{d-1}}}}{d+z-3} r_h^{3-d-z}$$

• Thermodynamic relations are satisfied, in particular

$$W = M - TS - \tilde{\Phi}\tilde{Q}$$

 $F = \Delta M - TS$

Phase diagrams

• Grand-canonical ensemble



1<=z<2 z>2 z>2

$$\tilde{\Phi}_c^2 = k \frac{2(d-1)(d-2)^2}{(d+z-3)^3} \ell^{2(1-z)} \mu^{-\sqrt{2\frac{z-1}{d-1}}}$$

2

Phase diagrams

• Canonical ensemble



1<=z<2 z=2 z>2

$$T_{HP} = \frac{d-1}{2\pi(2-z)\ell} \left[\frac{(2-z)(d-2)^2}{z(d+z-3)^2} \right]^{z/2}$$

Summary and outlook

- We presented a solution for $U(1)^3$ theory with dilatonic couplings; generic *z* and *d*
 - Some vector fields just support geometry via Neumann boundary conditions!
 - The same fields have a bad behaviour at the boundary, however...
- Finite on-shell action and mass of b.h. with holographic renormalization: phase diagrams
- If no matter couples to the \mathcal{A} fields and quantites are renormalized, can we make sense of this theory anyway?